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1. A 1-dimensional magnet consists of $N$ spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles, interacting with each other and with a magnetic field through the following Hamiltonian,

$$
H=-J \sum_{i=0}^{N-2}\left(\sigma_{i}^{x} \sigma_{i+1}^{x}+\sigma_{i}^{y} \sigma_{i+1}^{y}\right)-h \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma_{l}^{z}
$$

where $J$ and $h$ are real constants.
We define fermionic creation and annihilation operators in terms of the spin operators by the following Jordan-Wigner formula,

$$
c_{l}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{j<l} \sigma_{j}^{z}\right)\left(\sigma_{l}^{x}+i \sigma_{l}^{y}\right) \quad c_{l}^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{j<l} \sigma_{j}^{z}\right)\left(\sigma_{l}^{x}-i \sigma_{l}^{y}\right)
$$

It is given that these satisfy the canonical anticommutation relations for fermionic creation and annihilation operators.
(a) Derive that the Hamiltonian can be rewritten as,

$$
H=-2 J \sum_{l=0}^{N-2}\left(c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l+1}-c_{l} c_{l+1}^{\dagger}\right)+h\left(2 N_{F}-N\right)
$$

where $N_{F}$ is the total number of fermions in the system
We have $c_{l}+c_{l}^{\dagger}=\left(\prod_{j<l} \sigma_{j}^{z}\right) \sigma_{l}^{x}$ and hence $\sigma_{l}^{x}=\left(\prod_{j<l} \sigma_{j}^{z}\right)\left(c_{l}+c_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$. Similarly, we find that $\sigma_{l}^{y}=i\left(\prod_{j<l} \sigma_{j}^{z}\right)\left(c_{l}^{\dagger}-c_{l}\right)$. We also have $c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l}=$ $\left.\frac{1}{4}\left(\sigma_{l}^{x}-i \sigma_{l}^{y}\right)\left(\sigma_{l}^{x}+i \sigma_{l}^{y}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sigma_{l}^{z}\right)$, so $\sigma_{l}^{z}=1-2 c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l}=1-2 \hat{n}_{l}$. This gives

$$
\sigma_{l}^{x} \sigma_{l+1}^{x}=\left(c_{l}+c_{l}^{\dagger}\right) \sigma_{l}^{z}\left(c_{l+1}+c_{l+1}^{\dagger}\right)=-\left(c_{l}+c_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\left(2 c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l}-1\right)\left(c_{l+1}+c_{l+1}^{\dagger}\right)
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{l}^{y} \sigma_{l+1}^{y}=-\left(c_{l}^{\dagger}-c_{l}\right) \sigma_{l}^{z}\left(c_{l+1}^{\dagger}-c_{l+1}\right)=\left(c_{l}^{\dagger}-c_{l}\right)\left(2 c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l}-1\right)\left(c_{l+1}^{\dagger}-c_{l+1}\right)
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c_{l}^{\dagger}\left(2 c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l}-1\right)=-c_{l}^{\dagger} \\
c_{l}\left(2 c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l}-1\right)=2\left(\left\{c_{l}, c_{l}^{\dagger}\right\}-c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l}\right) c_{l}-c_{l}=c_{l}
\end{array}
$$

we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{l}^{x} \sigma_{l+1}^{x} & =\left(c_{l}^{\dagger}-c_{l}\right)\left(c_{l+1}+c_{l+1}^{\dagger}\right)=-c_{l} c_{l+1}-c_{l} c_{l+1}^{\dagger}+c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l+1}+c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l+1}^{\dagger} \\
\sigma_{l}^{y} \sigma_{l+1}^{y} & =-\left(c_{l}^{\dagger}+c_{l}\right)\left(c_{l+1}^{\dagger}-c_{l+1}\right)=c_{l} c_{l+1}-c_{l} c_{l+1}^{\dagger}+c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l+1}-c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l+1}^{\dagger}
\end{aligned}
$$

and further using that $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \hat{n}_{i}=N_{F}$, we see that the Hamiltonian has the required form.
(b) We now introduce the Fourier transformed raising and lowering operators

$$
d_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} c_{l} e^{2 \pi i l k / N} \quad d_{k}^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} c_{l}^{\dagger} e^{-2 \pi i l k / N}
$$

Show that these operators satisfy the canonical anticommutation relations for fermionic raising and lowering operators.
[15 marks]
We have

$$
\left\{d_{k}, d_{k}^{\dagger}\right\}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l, l^{\prime}=0}^{N-1}\left\{c_{l}, c_{l^{\prime}}^{\dagger}\right\} e^{2 \pi i\left(l k-l^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right) / N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} e^{2 \pi i l\left(k-k^{\prime}\right) / N}=\delta_{k, k^{\prime}}
$$

We used firstly $\left\{c_{l}, c_{l^{\prime}}^{\dagger}\right\}=\delta_{l, l^{\prime}}$ (it was given that the $c_{i}$ satisfy the canonical anticommutation relations) and secondly $\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} e^{2 \pi i l\left(k-k^{\prime}\right) / N}=N \delta_{k, k^{\prime}}$. We can also see directly from $\left\{c_{l}, c_{l^{\prime}}\right\}=0$ that $\left\{d_{k}, d_{k^{\prime}}\right\}=0$.
(c) We now change the Hamiltonian of the fermionic system slightly by including a coupling between the beginning and end of the chain (so it is effectively a ring). To write this in a convenient way we define $c_{N}:=c_{0}$. The new Hamiltonian is $\tilde{H}=-2 J \sum_{l=0}^{N-1}\left(c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l+1}-c_{l} c_{l+1}^{\dagger}\right)+h\left(2 N_{F}-N\right)$. Show that $\tilde{H}=-4 J \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \cos (2 \pi k / N) d_{k}^{\dagger} d_{k}+h\left(2 N_{F}-N\right)$.
Find the energy of the ground state and first excited state of the system when $h>2 J>0$.
[15 marks]
The term $h\left(2 N_{F}-N\right)$ does not change under Fourier transformation. For the rest of the Hamiltonian, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H} & =-2 J / N \sum_{l, k, k^{\prime}}\left(d_{k}^{\dagger} d_{k^{\prime}} e^{2 \pi i l k / N} e^{-2 \pi i(l+1) k^{\prime} / N}-d_{k} d_{k^{\prime}}^{\dagger} e^{-2 \pi i l k / N} e^{+2 \pi i(l+1) k^{\prime} / N}\right) \\
& =-2 J / N \sum_{k, k^{\prime}}\left(e^{-2 \pi i k^{\prime}} d_{k}^{\dagger} d_{k^{\prime}} \sum_{l} e^{2 \pi i l\left(k-k^{\prime}\right) / N}-e^{+2 \pi i k^{\prime}} d_{k} d_{k^{\prime}}^{\dagger} \sum_{l} e^{2 \pi i l\left(k^{\prime}-k\right) / N}\right) \\
& =-2 J \sum_{k}\left(e^{-2 \pi i k / N} d_{k}^{\dagger} d_{k}-e^{2 \pi i k / N} d_{k} d_{k}^{\dagger}\right) \\
& =-4 J \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \cos (2 \pi k / N) d_{k}^{\dagger} d_{k}+2 J \sum_{k} e^{2 \pi i k / N}=-4 J \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \cos (2 \pi k / N) d_{k}^{\dagger} d_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now note that if $h>2 J>0$, every fermion that is present gives a positive contribution to the energy. Concretely, a fermion with wave number $k$ contributes energy $2 h-4 J \cos (2 \pi k / N)>2 h-4 J>0$. Therefore, in the ground state, there are no fermions $\left(N_{F}=\hat{n}_{k}=0\right.$ for all $k$ ) and we find $E_{0}=-h N$. In the first excited state there will be one fermion. The minimal energy cost is achieved when this fermion has $k=0$. We then have $E_{1}=-h N+2(h-2 J)$. The system becomes gapless at $h=2 J$
2. A system of spinless (or spin polarized) fermions of mass $m$ in one space dimension has the following Hamiltonian

$$
H=\sum_{k} \frac{\hbar^{2} k^{2}}{2 m} c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k}+\sum_{k, k^{\prime}, q, n} \lambda_{n} q^{2 n} c_{k+q}^{\dagger} c_{k^{\prime}-q}^{\dagger} c_{k^{\prime}} c_{k}
$$

The fermions are confined to a line segement of length $L$ with periodic boundary conditions. Hence the wave numbers $k, k^{\prime}$ and $q$ which appear are all integer multiples of $\frac{2 \pi}{L}$. The sum over $n$ runs over all nonnegative integers and the $\lambda_{n}$ are coupling constants.
(a) In this part, we set the coupling constants $\lambda_{n}$ equal to zero for all $n$. Let $\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right\}$ be a set of $N$ wave numbers.
Show that the state $\prod_{i=1}^{N} c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $H$ and find its energy.
[15 marks]
All we need is to find the action of $\hat{n}_{k}=c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k}$ on the given state, for all $k$. If $k \notin\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right\}$ then $c_{k}$ anticommutes with $c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger}$ for all $i$ and we find $c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k} \prod_{i=1}^{N} c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle=(-1)^{N} c_{k}^{\dagger} \prod_{i=1}^{N} c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger} c_{k}|0\rangle=0$, since $c_{k}|0\rangle=0$ for all $k$. If $k=k_{j}$ for some $j$, then we have

$$
c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger} c_{k_{j}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger}\right) c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger} c_{k_{j}} c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger}\left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{N} c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle=\prod_{i=1}^{N} c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle
$$

The last equality follows from $c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger} c_{k_{j}} c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger}=\left(\left\{c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger}, c_{k_{j}}\right\}-c_{k_{j}} c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger}\right) c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger}=c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger}$, which itself follows from $\left(c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger}\right)^{2}=0$ and $\left\{c_{k_{j}}^{\dagger}, c\right\}=1$. Thus all these states are eigenstates of the $c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k}$ which means they are also eigenstates of $H$ and we read off that the eigenvalue of the given state is $\sum_{i} \frac{\hbar^{2} k_{i}^{2}}{2 m}$
(b) We now consider the case with $\lambda_{n} \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. We treat the new nonzero terms in the Hamiltonian as a perturbation. Show that the correction to the energy of the states considered in part (a) in first order perturbation theory is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E=-\sum_{k, k^{\prime} \in\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right\}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}\left(k^{\prime}-k\right)^{2 n} \tag{20marks}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correction to the energy is simply the expectation value of the interaction terms in $H$ in the unperturbed eigenstates $\prod_{i=1}^{N} c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$. The expectation value of a term $q^{2 n} c_{k+q}^{\dagger} c_{k^{\prime}-q}^{\dagger} c_{k^{\prime}} c_{k}$ can be nonzero only if all of $k, k^{\prime}, k+q$ and $k^{\prime}-q$ are elements of $\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right\}$ since otherwise either $c_{k}$ or $c_{k^{\prime}}$ commute to the right through $\prod_{i=1}^{N} c_{k_{i}}^{\dagger}$ to act on $|0\rangle$ (which gives 0 ) or $c_{k+q}^{\dagger}$
or $c_{k^{\prime}-q}^{\dagger}$ similarly commute to the left to act on $\langle 0|$ (again giving 0 ). In fact, we must have $\left\{k+q, k^{\prime}-q\right\}=\left\{k, k^{\prime}\right\}$ to get a nonzero expectation value, i.e. the interaction must annihilate and create particles at the same momenta, or the initial and final state will have different occupation numbers and they will have overlap zero. This can also be shown by direct use of the anticommutation relations, very similarly to the computation in part (a). As a result we must have either $k=k+q$ and $k^{\prime}=k^{\prime}-q$ and hence $q=0$ (this possibility gives zero whenever $n>0$ due to the factor $q^{2 n}$ ), or $k^{\prime}=k+q$ and $k=k^{\prime}-q$ and hence $q=k^{\prime}-k$. The sum over $q$ is then reduced to only two terms. The sums over $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ are reduced to sums over the set $\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right\}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta E & =\sum_{k, k^{\prime}}\left(\lambda_{0}\langle\psi| c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k^{\prime}}^{\dagger} c_{k^{\prime}} c_{k}|\psi\rangle+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}\left(k^{\prime}-k\right)^{2 n}\langle\psi| c_{k^{\prime}}^{\dagger} k_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k^{\prime}} c_{k}|\psi\rangle\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \neq k^{\prime}}\left(\lambda_{0}\langle\psi| \hat{n}_{k} \hat{n}_{k^{\prime}}|\psi\rangle-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}\left(k^{\prime}-k\right)^{2 n}\langle\psi| \hat{n}_{k} \hat{n}_{k^{\prime}}|\psi\rangle\right) \\
& =-\sum_{k, k^{\prime} \in\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right\}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}\left(k^{\prime}-k\right)^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed. Notice that in the last step, we used that the occupation numbers $n_{k}, n_{k^{\prime}}$ equal 1 in the state $\psi$ for $k, k^{\prime} \in\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right\}, k \neq k$. Also the $\lambda_{0}$ terms cancel and after that we can drop the requirement that $k \neq k^{\prime}$ since all remaining terms equal zero when $k=k^{\prime}$.
(c) We now consider a system which has $\lambda_{1}=V / L$ for some constant $V>0$ and $\lambda_{n}=0$ for $n>1$.
Calculate the expectation value of the energy per particle in the ground state of the non-interacting system, at large $N$. Express the result in terms of the mass $m$, the constant $V$ and the particle density $n=\frac{N}{L}$
[15 marks]
The ground states of the non-interacting system are superpositions of the states $\prod_{l=-N / 2+1}^{N / 2} c_{2 \pi l \hbar / L}^{\dagger}$ and $\prod_{l=-N / 2}^{N / 2-1} c_{2 \pi l \hbar / L}^{\dagger}$, when $N$ is even, while if $N$ is odd there is a unique ground state, $\prod_{l=-(N-1) / 2}^{(N-1) / 2} c_{2 \pi l \hbar / L}^{\dagger}$. Either way, the kinetic energy per particle is, to good approximation, given by

$$
\frac{E_{k i n}}{N} \approx \frac{L}{2 \pi N} \int_{-\pi N / L}^{\pi N / L} \frac{\hbar^{2} k^{2}}{2 m} d k=\frac{L \hbar^{2}}{4 \pi m N} \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{\pi N}{L}\right)^{3}=\frac{\hbar^{2} \pi^{2}}{6 m} n^{2}
$$

The potential energy is given by the formula in part (b), which reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta E}{N} & =-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{N} \sum_{k, k^{\prime}=-(N / 2)(2 \pi / L)}^{(N / 2)(2 \pi / L)}\left(k^{\prime}-k\right)^{2} \approx-\frac{V}{N L} \frac{L^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\frac{N \pi}{L}}^{\frac{N \pi}{L}} d k \int_{-\frac{N \pi}{L}}^{\frac{N \pi}{L}} d k^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime}-k\right)^{2} \\
& =-\frac{V L}{12 \pi^{2} N} \int_{-\frac{N \pi}{L}}^{\frac{N \pi}{L}} d k\left\{\left(\frac{N \pi}{L}-k\right)^{3}-\left(-\frac{N \pi}{L}-k\right)^{3}\right\} \\
& =-\frac{V L}{48 \pi^{2} N}\left[\left(\frac{N \pi}{L}-k\right)^{4}-\left(-\frac{N \pi}{L}-k\right)^{4}\right]_{k=-\frac{N \pi}{L}}^{k=\frac{N \pi}{L}} \\
& =\frac{V L}{24 \pi^{2} N}\left(\frac{2 N \pi}{L}\right)^{4}=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} V\left(\frac{N}{L}\right)^{3}=\frac{2 \pi^{2} V}{3} n^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

