Assignment 3: selected solutions

Problem 1: Show that the norm of a quantum state given by the density matrix ρ remains unchanged if the state is subject to a unitary transformation \hat{U} .

Solution: Use the cyclic permutation invariance of the trace operation

 $\operatorname{tr}(U\rho U^{\dagger}) = \operatorname{tr}(U^{\dagger}U\rho) = \operatorname{tr}(\rho).$

Problem 2: Derive the solution of the Schrödinger equation for the system characterised by a Hamiltonian that is time independent, and show that the resulting evolution operator is unitary if the Hamiltonian is self-adjoint. Solution: use the separation of variables and integration

$$i\hbar \frac{\mathrm{d}|\psi(t)\rangle}{\mathrm{d}t} = \hat{H}|\psi(t)\rangle$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}|\psi(t)\rangle}{|\psi(t)\rangle} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}\mathrm{d}t$$
$$\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\mathrm{d}|\psi(t)\rangle}{|\psi(t)\rangle} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}\int_{0}^{T}\mathrm{d}t$$
$$\ln|\psi(T)\rangle - \ln|\psi(0)\rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}T$$
$$\ln\frac{|\psi(T)\rangle}{|\psi(0)\rangle} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}T$$
$$|\psi(T)\rangle = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}T}|\psi(0)\rangle$$

Problem 3: Using the Taylor expansion, show that the evolution operator generated by the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hbar \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2$ can be written as follows:

$$e^{-it\hat{H}/\hbar} = \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\hat{I} - i\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}$$

where $\vec{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z)$ is a real unit vector and $\vec{\sigma}$ is the vector of Pauli matrices.

Solution: use properties of the Pauli matrices, specifically that they square to the identity and that they anticommute, to show

$$(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2 = (n_x \sigma_x + n_y \sigma_y + n_z \sigma_z)^2$$

$$= (n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2)\hat{I} + n_x n_y (\sigma_x \sigma_y + \sigma_y \sigma_x) + n_x n_z (\sigma_x \sigma_z + \sigma_z \sigma_x) + n_y n_z (\sigma_y \sigma_z + \sigma_z \sigma_y)$$

$$= \hat{I}$$

and consequently, $(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2n} = \hat{I}$ and $(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2n+1} = \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, use the Taylor series expansion to show

$$\begin{split} e^{-it\hat{H}/\hbar} &= e^{-it\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}/2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \frac{(t/2)^n}{n!} (\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma})^n \\ &= \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (t/2)^{2n}}{(2n)!} \right] \hat{I} - i \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (t/2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \right] (\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}) \\ &= \left[\cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right] \hat{I} - i \left[\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right] (\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}). \end{split}$$

Problem 4: Calculate the effect that a single qubit evolution operator U(t), generated by the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hbar \sigma_x/2$ has on a general single qubit pure state in the Bloch representation.

Solution: Evaluate the action of the evolution operator as follows

$$\begin{split} \rho(t) &= \hat{U}_t \,\rho(0) \, \hat{U}_t^{\dagger} = e^{-i\sigma_x t/2} \,\rho(0) \, e^{i\sigma_x t/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big[\hat{I} + \Big(\cos\frac{t}{2} \, \hat{I} - i \sin\frac{t}{2} \, \sigma_x \Big) \Big(r_x \sigma_x + r_y \sigma_y + r_z \sigma_z \Big) \Big(\cos\frac{t}{2} \, \hat{I} + i \sin\frac{t}{2} \, \sigma_x \Big) \Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big[\hat{I} + r_x \, \sigma_x + (r_y \cos t - r_z \sin t) \, \sigma_y + (r_y \sin t + r_z \cos t) \, \sigma_z \Big]. \end{split}$$

Problem 5: Calculate the effect that a single qubit evolution operator U(t), generated by the Hamiltonian given by $\hat{H} = \hbar \sigma_z/2$ has on a general single qubit pure state in the Bloch representation.

Solution: Evaluate the action of the evolution operator as follows

$$\begin{split} \rho(t) &= \hat{U}_t \,\rho(0) \, \hat{U}_t^{\dagger} = e^{-i\sigma_z t/2} \,\rho(0) \, e^{i\sigma_z t/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big[\hat{I} + \Big(\cos\frac{t}{2} \, \hat{I} - i \sin\frac{t}{2} \, \sigma_z \Big) \Big(r_x \sigma_x + r_y \sigma_y + r_z \sigma_z \Big) \Big(\cos\frac{t}{2} \, \hat{I} + i \sin\frac{t}{2} \, \sigma_z \Big) \Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big[\hat{I} + (r_x \cos t - r_y \sin t) \, \sigma_x + (r_x \sin t + r_y \cos t) \, \sigma_y + r_z \, \sigma_z \Big]. \end{split}$$

Problem 6: Find the global phase with which one needs to multiply the Hadamard gate to convert it from an element of the group U(2) to an element of the group SU(2).

Solution: The Hadamard gate has det H = -1, and hence the global phase is $\sqrt{\det H} = e^{i\pi/2}$. We can rewrite the gate as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\pi/2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-i\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{e^{-i\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{e^{-i\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{e^{i\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Problem 7: Propose a suitable Hamiltonian and determine a duration for which the Hamiltonian has to be turned on to generate the evolution operator which is equivalent up to a global phase to the Hadamard gate.

Solution: Up to the global phase, determined in the previous problem, we can expand the Hadamard gate into a superposition of the Pauli matrices

$$H = e^{i\pi/2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-i\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{e^{-i\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{e^{-i\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{e^{i\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\pi/2} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\pi/2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 - i\left(\frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_z}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

and using the expression

$$e^{-it\hat{H}/\hbar} = \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\hat{I} - i\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}$$

we get

$$H = e^{i\pi/2} \left[0 - i \left(\frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_z}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right]$$
$$= e^{i\pi/2} \left[\cos \frac{\pi}{2} \hat{I} - i \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_z}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] = e^{i\pi/2} e^{-i\pi \left(\frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_z}{\sqrt{2}} \right)/2}$$
$$n_x = n_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ and time } t = \pi \mod 2\pi.$$

where $n_x = n_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and time $t = \pi \mod 2\pi$.

Problem 8: Show by calculation in the Bloch representation that the bit-flip *X* and the Hadamard gate *H* transform the Bloch vector of the state $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)$ in the same way.

Solution: $X = \sigma_x$ and use the properties of Pauli matrices

$$X \rho X^{\dagger} = \sigma_x \rho \sigma_x = \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{I} + \sigma_x \left(r_x \sigma_x + r_y \sigma_y + r_z \sigma_z \right) \sigma_x \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{I} + r_x \sigma_x - r_y \sigma_y - r_z \sigma_z \right].$$

For the Hadamard gate, use $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_x + \sigma_z)$

$$H \rho H^{\dagger} = H \rho H = \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{I} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma_x + \sigma_z) \left(r_x \sigma_x + r_y \sigma_y + r_z \sigma_z \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma_x + \sigma_z) \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{I} + r_z \sigma_x - r_y \sigma_y + r_x \sigma_z \right].$$

Problem 9: Calculate the local invariants g_1, g_2 and g_3 for the two-qubit operations $CNOT_{12}$ and $CNOT_{21}$ and determine whether these are in the same local equivalence class.

Solution: $CNOT_{12}$

$$\begin{aligned} U_B &= U_Q^{\dagger}(CNOT_{12})U_Q = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -i & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & i & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -i & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & i & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i & -1 & i \\ -i & 1 & -i & -1 \\ -1 & i & 1 & i \\ -i & -1 & -i & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$m = U_B^T U_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i & -1 & -i \\ i & 1 & i & -1 \\ -1 & -i & 1 & -i \\ i & -1 & i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i & -1 & i \\ -i & 1 & -i & -1 \\ -1 & i & 1 & i \\ -i & -1 & -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the local invariants defined for U(4) and det(U) = -1, we get

$$g_1 = \frac{Re\left[\operatorname{tr}^2(m)\right]}{16\operatorname{det}(U)} = 0, \quad g_2 = \frac{Im\left[\operatorname{tr}^2(m)\right]}{16\operatorname{det}(U)} = 0, \quad g_3 = \frac{\left[\operatorname{tr}^2(m) - \operatorname{tr}(m^2)\right]}{4\operatorname{det}(U)} = 1.$$

The transformation of $CNOT_{21}$ into the magic Bell basis produces the

$$U_B = U_Q^{\dagger}(CNOT_{12})U_Q = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i & -1 & i \\ -1 & i & 1 & i \\ -i & -1 & -i & 1 \\ -i & 1 & -i & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which gives the same Maklin matrix as $CNOT_{12}$

$$m = U_B^T U_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus the same local invariants

$$g_1 = \frac{Re\left[\operatorname{tr}^2(m)\right]}{16\operatorname{det}(U)} = 0, \quad g_2 = \frac{Im\left[\operatorname{tr}^2(m)\right]}{16\operatorname{det}(U)} = 0, \quad g_3 = \frac{\left[\operatorname{tr}^2(m) - \operatorname{tr}(m^2)\right]}{4\operatorname{det}(U)} = 1.$$

Projective measurement

A single measurement of an observable \hat{M} can only yield one of its eigenvalues λ_m which satisfy the eigenvalue equation

$$\hat{M}|\psi_m\rangle = \lambda_m |\psi_m\rangle$$

where $|\psi_m\rangle$ is the eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue λ_m .

The measurement operators correspond to the projectors $\hat{P}_m = |\psi_m\rangle\langle\psi_m|$ onto eigensubspaces, which are associated with eigenvalues λ_m and are spanned by the corresponding eigenvectors $|\psi_m\rangle$, in the spectral decomposition of the observable \hat{M} :

$$\hat{M} = \sum_{m} \lambda_{m} |\psi_{m}\rangle \langle \psi_{m}| = \sum_{m} \lambda_{m} \hat{P}_{m}.$$

If the state of the system before the measurement is $|\phi\rangle$, then the probability that the result λ_m occurs is

$$p_m = \langle \phi | \hat{P}_m^{\dagger} \hat{P}_m | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \hat{P}_m^2 | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \hat{P}_m | \phi \rangle$$

and the state immediately after the measurement is

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{\hat{P}_m |\phi\rangle}{||\hat{P}_m |\phi\rangle||} = \frac{\hat{P}_m |\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\phi|\hat{P}_m^{\dagger}\hat{P}_m |\phi\rangle}} = \frac{\hat{P}_m |\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\phi|\hat{P}_m |\phi\rangle}} = \frac{\hat{P}_m |\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{P_m}}$$

Projective measurement allows us to easily calculate the expectation value of an observable \hat{M} for the system in the state $|\phi\rangle$

$$\langle \hat{M} \rangle = \langle \phi | \hat{M} | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \left(\sum_{m} \lambda_{m} \hat{P}_{m} \right) | \phi \rangle = \sum_{m} \lambda_{m} \langle \phi | \hat{P}_{m} | \phi \rangle = \sum_{m} \lambda_{m} p_{m}$$

Projective measurements on states given by density matrices

Consider a single qubit pure state $|\phi\rangle = c_0|0\rangle + c_1|1\rangle$:

$$\hat{\rho} = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| = |c_0|^2 |0\rangle\langle0| + c_0 c_1^* |0\rangle\langle1| + c_0^* c_1 |1\rangle\langle0| + |c_1|^2 |1\rangle\langle1|.$$

The norm is calculated as the trace of the density matrix, that is, the sum of its diagonal matrix elements

$$\operatorname{tr}\hat{\rho} = \langle 0|\hat{\rho}|0\rangle + \langle 1|\hat{\rho}|1\rangle = |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1.$$

The operators for a measurement in the standard computational basis

$$\hat{P}_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|, \qquad \qquad \hat{P}_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|.$$

the probabilities of the measurement results 0 and 1:

$$p_{0} = \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{P}_{0} | \phi \rangle \langle \phi | \hat{P}_{0}^{\dagger} \right) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{P}_{0} \hat{\rho} \hat{P}_{0}^{\dagger} \right) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{P}_{0} \hat{\rho} \hat{P}_{0} \right) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{P}_{0} \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \right) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{P}_{0} \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \right),$$

$$p_{1} = \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{P}_{1} \hat{\rho} \hat{P}_{1}^{\dagger} \right) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{P}_{1} \hat{\rho} \right).$$

and the states after the measurement:

$$\rho_{0} = \frac{\hat{P}_{0}\hat{\rho}\hat{P}_{0}^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}(\hat{P}_{0}\hat{\rho}\hat{P}_{0}^{\dagger})} = \frac{\hat{P}_{0}\hat{\rho}\hat{P}_{0}}{\operatorname{tr}(\hat{P}_{0}\hat{\rho})},$$

$$\rho_{1} = \frac{\hat{P}_{1}\hat{\rho}\hat{P}_{1}^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}(\hat{P}_{1}\hat{\rho}\hat{P}_{1}^{\dagger})} = \frac{\hat{P}_{1}\hat{\rho}\hat{P}_{1}}{\operatorname{tr}(\hat{P}_{1}\hat{\rho})},$$

Single-qubit measurements on multi-qubit systems

Example: measurement operators for measurements of the second qubit in the standard computational basis on a two-qubit system:

$$\hat{P}_0^{(2)} = \hat{1} \otimes \hat{P}_0 = \hat{1} \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|,$$

$$\hat{P}_1^{(2)} = \hat{1} \otimes \hat{P}_1 = \hat{1} \otimes |1\rangle \langle 1|.$$

where $\hat{1}$ is a 2 × 2 unit matrix acting on the first qubit which is not being measured.